

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,856	07/25/2001	David H. Mack	003848.00091	4786
28315 7	590 09/16/2002			
BANNER &	WITCOFF LTD.,			
	FOR AFFYMETRIX	EXAMINER		
1001 G STREE		ALLEN, MARIANNE P		
ELEVENTH F	,			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-4597	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2002	ع

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1				Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
	Offic	Action Summary	09/911,856		MACK, DAVID H.		
	Oilic	Action Summ	iiai y	Examiner	ī	Art Unit	
	The MAII	INC DATE of this		Marianne Alle		1631	
Period fo	r Reply	LING DATE OF THIS	communication app	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
- External frame - External frame - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	MAILING L nsions of time n SIX (6) MONTH period for reply period for reply re to reply withi reply received b	JATE OF THIS CO nay be available under the HS from the mailing date of y specified above is less to y is specified above, the rent the set or extended per nother set or extended per	e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a reply naximum statutory period word for reply will, by statute, see months after the mailing.	6(a). In no event, ho within the statutory n ill apply and will expi	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
1)	Responsi	ive to communicat	tion(s) filed on				
2a)□		on is FINAL .		— · s action is non-	final		
3)□						osecution as to the merits is	
,—	closed in on of Clair	accordance with i	the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
4)🖂	Claim(s)	<u>1-107</u> is/are pendi	ng in the applicatior	١.			
•	4a) Of the	above claim(s)	is/are withdraw	n from conside	eration.		
5)	Claim(s) _	is/are allowe	ed.				
6)[Claim(s) _	is/are rejecte	ed.				
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are object	ed to.				
8)⊠ Applicatio	Claim(s) <u>1-</u> on Papers	<u>-107</u> are subject to	restriction and/or	election require	ement.		
9)□ T	he specific	cation is objected	to by the Examiner.				
10)□ T	he drawing	g(s) filed on	_is/are: a) ☐ accept	ed or b) objec	ted to by the Exam	niner.	
	Applicant r	may not request tha	t any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[T	he propose	ed drawing correc	tion filed on i	is: a)∏ approv	ed b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.	
	If approved	d, corrected drawing	s are required in reply	y to this Office a	ction.		
			ected to by the Exa	miner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.	S.C. §§ 119 and 1	20				
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowled	gment is made of	a claim for foreign p	oriority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).	
a)[_	All b)	Some * c) ☐ No	ne of:				
1	I. Certi	fied copies of the	priority documents I	have been rece	eived.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	а	ppiication nom the	copies of the priority International Bure e action for a list of	au (PCT Rula :	17 2/a\\	in this National Stage	
14) 🗌 Ac	knowledgn	nent is made of a	claim for domestic	oriority under 3	5 U.S.C. & 119(a)	(to a provisional application).	
a)	The trai	nslation of the fore	eign language provi	sional applicati	on has been recei	ved.	
Attachment(s				priority under d	.0 0.0.0. 33 120 a	IIIU/OF 12 I.	
2) D Notice	of Draftsperse	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing R re Statement(s) (PTO-	eview (PTO-948) 1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.	emark Office 04-01)		Office Action	n Summary		Part of Paper No. 9	

Application/Control Number: 09/911,856

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant is advised that no claim 4 was originally presented. The original claims were numbered 1-3 and 5-108. Claims 5-108 have been renumbered as 4-107 in keeping with 37 CFR 1.126. The dependency of the original claims has been corrected as needed.

Parent application 09/341,302 was filed under 35 U.S.C. §371 and the lack of unity set forth in that application is set forth below.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- I. Claims 1-9 and 106-107, directed to a method for mapping.
- II. Claims 10-16, directed to a method for detecting functional mutation.
- III. Claims 17-23, directed to a method for determining function.
- IV. Claims 24-27, directed to a second method for determining function.
- V. Claims 28-32, directed to a method for detecting p53 function.
- VI. Claims 33-37, directed to an in-cell p53 assay.
- VII. Claims 38-39, directed to a device.
- VIII. Claims 40-42, directed to a second method for detection.
- IX. Claim 44, directed to a method for analysis.
- X. Claim 45, directed to a third method for detection.
- XI. Claims 45-69, directed to a method of determining cell loss.
- XII. Claims 70-93, directed to a method of diagnosis.
- XIII. Claims 94-97, directed a method of identifying drugs.
- XIV. Claims 98-105, directed to a solid support.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XIV do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I forms a single inventive concept and contains claims directed to a first appearing method. This first appearing method possesses no special technical feature as prior art can be applied against the claims of Group I and a special

Art Unit: 1631

technical feature must define a contribution over the prior art. (See at least reference to Schena cited on search report and applied in IPER.) Groups VII and XIV are drawn to structurally different products which do not share the same or a corresponding special technical feature. Groups II-VI and VIII-XIII are drawn to different methods having different goals, method steps, and starting materials which do not share the same or a corresponding special technical feature. Note that PCT Rule 13 does not provide for multiple products or methods within a single application.

Because these inventions do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 as set forth above, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Due to the number of inventions in the lack of unity requirement, no attempt was made to obtain a telephonic election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne P. Allen, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0666. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4028. Official FAX communications may be directed to either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Marianne Paller Marianne P. Allen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1631

Application/Control Number: 09/911,856

Art Unit: 1631

mpa September 11, 2002

Page 4